



## Bibliografia Básica

**Bibliography (more specific bibliography will be provided at the end of each class):**

Praat – version 6.1.13: <https://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/>

Correlatore – version 2.3.4: <http://phonetictools.altervista.org/correlatore/>

Arvaniti, A. (2009). Rhythm, timing and the timing of rhythm. *Phonetica* 66, 46-63.

Barbosa, P. A. (2002). Explaining cross-linguistic rhythmic variability via a coupled-oscillator model of rhythm production. *Proceedings of Speech Prosody 2002*, Aix-en-Provence, pp. 163-166.

Cruz, M. (2013). *Prosodic variation in EP: phrasing, intonation and rhythm in Central-Southern varieties*. Unpublished PhD dissertation in Linguistics. University of Lisbon.

Dauer, R. (1983). Stress-timing and syllable-timing reanalyzed. *Journal of Phonetics* 11, 51-62.

Dauer, R. (1987). Phonetic and phonological components of language rhythm. Paper presented at the XI<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Phonetic Sciences 5, pp. 447-450.

Dellwo, V., & Wagner, P. (2003). Relations between language rhythm and speech rate. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Phonetic Science*, Barcelona, pp. 471-474.

Frota, S. & Vigário, M. (2001). On the correlates of rhythmic distinctions: the European/Brazilian Portuguese case. *Probus* 13, 247-273.

Grabe, E., & Low, E. L. (2002). Durational variability in speech and the rhythm class hypothesis. In C. Gussenhoven & N. Warner (Eds.), *Laboratory Phonology 7* (pp. 515-546). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Major, R. C. (1981). Stress-timing in Brazilian Portuguese. *Journal of Phonetics* 9, 343-351.

Nespor, M. (1990). On the rhythm parameter in phonology. In I. Roca (Ed.), *The Logical Problem of Language Acquisition* (pp. 157-175). Foris. Dordrecht.

Nespor, M., Shukla, M., & Mehler, J. (2011). Stress-timed vs. syllable timed languages. In M. van Oostendorp, C. J. Ewen, E. Hume & K. Rice (Eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Phonology* (pp. 1147-1159). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

Ramus, F., & Mehler, J. (1999). Language identification with suprasegmental cues: A study based on speech resynthesis. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 105(1), 512-521.

Ramus, F., Nespor, M., & Mehler, J. (1999). Correlates of linguistic rhythm in the speech signal. *Cognition* 73(3), 265-292.

Ramus, F. (2002). Acoustic correlates of linguistic rhythm: Perspectives. *Proceedings of Speech Prosody 2002*, Aix-en-Provence, pp. 115-120.

Ramus, F., Dupoux, E., & Mehler, J. (2003). The psychological reality of rhythm classes: Perceptual studies. Paper presented at the 15<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Phonetic Sciences, Barcelona, pp. 337-342.

Turk, A., Nakai, S., & Sugahara, M. (2006). Acoustic segment durations in prosodic research: A practical guide. In S. Sudhoff, D. Lenertova, R. Meyer, S. Pappert, P. Augurzky, I. Mleinek, N. Richter & J. Schliesser (Eds.), *Methods in Empirical Prosody Research* (pp. 1-28). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

White, L., Mattys, S. L., Series, L., & Gage, S. (2007). Rhythm metrics predict rhythmic discrimination. In J. Trouvain & W. J. Barry (Eds.), *Proceedings of the international congress of the phonetic sciences*, pp. 1009-1012.

## Pré-requisitos

Capacidade de acompanhar uma disciplina oferecida em inglês

## Outras exigências

Para os alunos de isoladas: estar inscritos na LBASS <[www.letras.ufmg.br/lbass](http://www.letras.ufmg.br/lbass)>

Belo Horizonte, 18 de janeiro de 2021.

Tommaso Raso  
PROFESSOR(A)